From: Hall, Lynda

To: Sweeney, Stephen; Joelle Gore - NOAA Federal

CC: Psyk, Christine; Jeff Dillen Sent: 5/21/2014 2:59:01 PM

Subject: RE: Response to the question of possible 30% reduction

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

From: Sweeney, Stephen

Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2014 2:49 PM

To: Joelle Gore - NOAA Federal

Cc: Psyk, Christine; Hall, Lynda; Jeff Dillen

Subject: RE: Response to the question of possible 30% reduction

Joelle (and Jeff),

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Thanks,

Steve

From: Joelle Gore - NOAA Federal [mailto:joelle.gore@noaa.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2014 2:46 PM

To: Sweeney, Stephen

Cc: Psyk, Christine; Hall, Lynda; Jeff Dillen

Subject: Re: Response to the question of possible 30% reduction

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

On Wed, May 21, 2014 at 12:52 PM, Sweeney, Stephen < Sweeney.Stephen@epa.gov > wrote: Christine,

Ex. 5 - Attorney Client

Thanks,

Steve

From: Psyk, Christine

Sent: Tuesday, May 20, 2014 7:01 PM

ED463-000007945 EPA-6822_036441

To: Hall, Lynda; Joelle Gore - NOAA Federal

Cc: Sweeney, Stephen

Subject: FW: Response to the question of possible 30% reduction

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Thanks much.

Christine Psyk

Associate Director, R10 OWW

206-553-1906

psyk.christine@epa.gov

From: TARNOW Karen E [mailto:TARNOW.Karen@deq.state.or.us]

Sent: Tuesday, May 20, 2014 3:48 PM

To: Psyk, Christine

Cc: FOSTER Eugene P; ALDRICH Greg; HICKMAN Jane; WIGAL Jennifer; COUTU Adam

Subject: RE: Response to the question of possible 30% reduction

Hi Christine – thanks for the response. I just wanted to check to be sure you are absolutely certain about that, because that's not how our AG read the statute and that's not what we've been saying for the last five months. I'm not saying our AG is always right or anything like that – I just want to be sure that if we change our message, we can say so based upon new and definitive info from EPA. Thanks!

Karen

From: COUTU Adam

Sent: Tuesday, May 20, 2014 3:45 PM

To: WIGAL Jennifer; HICKMAN Jane; TARNOW Karen E; ALDRICH Greg

Cc: FOSTER Eugene P

Subject: FW: Response to the question of possible 30% reduction

From Christine Psyk. She said our 319 award would be reduced 30% from what we would normally receive and would not be compounded as we've been assuming.

Adam Coutu
Operations and Policy Analyst
Office of Policy and Analysis
Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
(503) 229-6602
coutu.adam@deq.state.or.us

From: Psyk, Christine [mailto:Psyk.Christine@epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 20, 2014 2:46 PM

To: COUTU Adam; Salter, Joel **Cc:** FOSTER Eugene P

Subject: RE: Response to the question of possible 30% reduction

Adam, the first example is the correct interpretation.

ED463-00007945 EPA-6822_036442

Christine Psyk

Associate Director, R10 OWW

206-553-1906

psyk.christine@epa.gov

From: COUTU Adam [mailto:COUTU.Adam@deq.state.or.us]

Sent: Tuesday, May 20, 2014 9:13 AM

To: Salter, Joel; Psyk, Christine

Cc: FOSTER Eugene P

Subject: RE: Response to the question of possible 30% reduction

Thank you, Joel and Christine.

So just to be absolutely clear, the reduction would look like this:

Fiscal Year	Appropriation	Award	Notes
FY2014	2,105,000	2,105,000	
FY2015 (est.)	2,105,000	1,473,500	Reduced 30% from FY2015 appropriation
FY2016 (est.)	2,105,000	1,473,500	Reduced 30% from FY2016 appropriation

It would <u>not</u> look like this:

Fiscal Year	Award	Notes
FY2014	2,105,000	
FY2015 (est.)	1,473,500	Reduced 30% from FY2014 award
FY2016 (est.)	1,031,450	Reduced 30% from FY2015 award

We are working on contingency planning for our 2015-17 budget, so we want to make sure we fully and accurately understand the potential impact.

Thank you,

Adam Coutu
Operations and Policy Analyst
Office of Policy and Analysis
Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
(503) 229-6602
coutu.adam@deq.state.or.us

From: Salter, Joel [mailto:Salter.Joel@epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 20, 2014 9:05 AM **To:** FOSTER Eugene P; COUTU Adam

Cc: PSYK Christine

Subject: Response to the question of possible 30% reduction

Hello Gene and Adam, here is a response from Ms. Christine Psyk to the question of compounding the 30% on a yearly basis: "On the CZARA penalties, . . ., the penalty does not compound. So, the penalty would apply at 30% rate in a fixed fashion to whatever the grant award amount is based on any given year's appropriation."

I understand that discussions are still underway with NWEA regarding the new schedule for an EPA decision. More to come on that.

Joel Salter, Oregon Water Programs coordinator

ED463-00007945 EPA-6822_036443

US EPA Region 10, Oregon operations office 805 SW Broadway, Suite 500 Portland OR 97205

503-326-2653

_

Joelle Gore Stewardship Division, Acting Chief OCRM/CSC 1305 East-West Highway SSMC4, Room 11110 Silver Spring, MD 20910

Direct: 301-563-1177 Fax: 301-713-4370

 $\underline{www.coastalmanagement.noaa.gov}$

ED463-000007945 EPA-6822_036444